

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/381,032	12/17/1999	ANDREAS BERGMANN	PM263260	3417
7	590 01/14/2002			
1122020	BURY MADISON & SUTRO EXAMINER		INER	
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW NINTH FLOOR EAST TOWER HUYNH, PHUON			HUONG N	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 200053918		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/381,032	BERGMANN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		" Neon" Phuong Huynh	1644		
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty, period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT on statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>02 November 2001</u> .			
2a)⊠					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	allowance except for formal matt under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ters, prosecution as to the merits 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 13-22 is/are pending in the app	olication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are withd	rawn from consideration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 14-22 is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
<i>,</i> —	The specification is objected to by the Ex				
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)				
. —	Applicant may not request that any objection				
11)[]	The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.		
40)[-	If approved, corrected drawings are require The oath or declaration is objected to by				
,		HO EAGHING.			
•	Inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for:	foreign priority under 35 H S C 8	119(a)-(d) or (f)		
		ioreign priority under 33 0.0.0. §	(1) (a) (a) or (i).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received			
	 Certified copies of the priority doc Certified copies of the priority doc 		polication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the				
* S	application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for do		•		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langua Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	ge provisional application has be	en received.		
Attachmen					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	·	summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/381,032

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 13-22 are pending.

- 2. Claim 13 stands withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 3. The following new grounds of objection and rejections are necessitated by the amendment filed 11/2/01.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims 14-16 are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. For example, "a two step assay" in claim 14. Another example, "The recitation of "said TSHR-auto-Ab to bind TSHR-auto-Ab present in said biological sample to said rhTSHR(imm)*" in claim 14. "a one step assay" in claim 15. "animal-specific antibody" in claim 16.

Regarding claim 18, the phrase "in such a way" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

It is indefinite and ambiguous to recite "*" and "()" in claims 14, 15 and 22.

6. Claims 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No. 5,814,461, of record) and or Morris *et al* (J Biol Chem 268(15):10900-5, May 1993; of record) in view of Morgenthaler *et al* (J Clin Endocrinol Metab 81(2):700-6, Feb 1996, of record) for the same reasons set forth in Paper No 9.

Applicants' arguments filed 11/2/01 have been fully considered but are not found persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/381,032

Art Unit: 1644

Applicants' position is that the assay claimed in the present application is the assay that finally replaced the traditional "precipitation assay" to which it gives clearly superior results. However, the arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record. In re Schulze, 145 USPQ 716, 718 (CCPA 1965). See MPEP 716.01(b). Examples of attorney statements which are not evidence and which must be supported by an appropriate affidavit or declaration include statements regarding unexpected results, commercial success, solution of a long - felt need, inoperability of the prior art, invention before the date of the reference, and allegations that the author(s) of the prior art derived the disclosed subject matter from the applicant. The rejection is maintained, essentially for the reasons of record set forth in Paper No. 9.

- 7. No claim is allowed.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to "Neon" Phuong Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 308-4844. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 am to 6:00 p.m. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Application/Control Number: 09/381,032

Art Unit: 1644

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile 10. transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-7401.

Phuong N. Huynh, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

January 14, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1800 / 640